UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO LING DATE 2206 10/07/2002 Timothy Feiya Su 10/065,341 08/17/2007 33643 **EXAMINER TIMOTHY SU** ROBERTSON, DAVID 5715 138TH PL SE BELLEVVE, WA 98006 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3623 DELIVERY MODE MAIL DATE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PAPER

08/17/2007

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/065,341	SU, TIMOTHY FEIYA	
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dave Robertson	3623	
The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRO Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling da If NO period for reply is specified above, the	OM THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 te of this communication. e maximum statutory period w period for reply will, by statute, three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH() ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (18(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be tim (18) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE (date of this communication, even if timely filed)	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on <u>17 De</u>	ecember 2002.		
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
		nce except for formal matters, pro		
closed in accordance with	the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pendir				
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allo				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejecte				
7) Claim(s) is/are obj		e election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subje	ct to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892		4) Interview Summary		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) 		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>none</u> .		6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is a non-final office action examining pending claims 1-6.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following: Paragraph [0031] of the specification (and also of the preliminary amendment to the specification filed 12/17/2002) refers to a Figure 11. It is not apparent that Figure 11 of the originally filed drawings remains part of the specification as the amended specification lists only Figures 1-7. Paragraphs [0033], [0034], and [0051] in the original specification refer to Figures 12 and 13, which also appear to be cancelled by the amendment.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims are not in an acceptable format. See MPEP 608.01(m) Form of Claims. Each claim must be in the form of a single sentence ending with a period, with each element or step set out by a line indentation. See also 37 C.F.R. 179(i). For example, Claim 1 is several sentences combined and the elements of the system are not set out by a line indentation. Claims 2-6 have no concluding period (.)
- 4. Claims 2-6 are objected to because the claims refer to different statutory classes of invention without an apparent conforming relationship. For example, Claim 2 recites

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/065,341

Art Unit: 3623

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 8. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use of claims 2-5, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. Likewise, the system claims 1 and 6 do not set forth any structural components of the system with functionality associated with the components. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products*, *Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).
- 9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. The claims are inoperative because the claims either recite systems having function with no relationship of the asserted function to the structural elements, or methods without setting forth steps in the process nor relation to the related systems.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3623

"A method as recited in claim 1..." whereas Claim 1 recites "A system"; Claim 6 recites "A system as recited in claim 5" whereas Claim 5 recites "A method."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 5. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-6 recite a system "which is able to" assign tasks to staff, but, since the 6. claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. In addition, regarding claims 2, 3, 4, and 6, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. Claims 5 and 6 lack definiteness because the phrase "and more" in Claim 5 fails to limit what "more" is being provided, and in Claim 6 "A system...which goes beyond merely accomplishing each task in the allotted time span...." fails to define the bounds of what the system "goes beyond." See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3623

11. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fields et al (US 5111391 A).

<u>Fields</u> teaches computer-implemented methods and a system (with user interface) for assigning staff to tasks based on skills required (columns 2-5), using weighted (prioritized) criteria (column 1 from line 40) at remote (and several) locations (abstract), with supervision and equipment needs (column 1 line 38) and manpower assignments based on availability (column 5), the system having a user-interface for interactive display and control (see Figure 4B).

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: The following issued US patents and published US patent applications, issued or published on or before the data of the present invention, each teach computer-implemented systems and methods for making staff schedules as a function of available resources as well as employee skill level, availability and priority: Andre et al. (US 20020143597 A1); Beck et al. (US 6381640 B1); Donnelly et al. (US 6049776 A), Barr et al. (US 5182705 A), and Stipanovich (US 5117353 A).
- 13. These citations are not meant to suggest an exhaustive search of all possible prior art in the field of the invention as claimed and further search in patent, non-patent, and foreign literature may as well find additional teachings relevant to the present invention. Applicant is encouraged to review the cited art referenced below and consider such in any amendments to the claims submitted in any response, accordingly.

Art Unit: 3623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Robertson whose telephone number is 571-272-8220. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:15am to 5:15pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 571-272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dcr

C. MICHELLE TARAE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control No. 10/065,341 Examiner Dave Robertson Applicant(s)/Patent Under ReexamInation SU, TIMOTHY FEIYA Art Unit Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-5,111,391 A	05-1992	Fields et al.	705/9
*	В	US-5,117,353 A	05-1992	Stipanovich et al.	705/11
*	С	US-5,182,705 A	01-1993	Barr et al.	705/11
*	D	US-6,049,776 A	04-2000	Donnelly et al.	. 705/8
*	Ε	US-6,381,640 B1	04-2002	Beck et al.	709/223
*	F	US-2002/0143597 A1	10-2002	Andre et al.	705/9
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р			·		
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

		TOTAL TABLET GOOD TOTAL		
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)		
	υ			
	v			
	w			
	x			

A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

7(V 36.60 Bldg.Room_

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. Box 1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER





RECEIVED

USPTO MAIL CENTER 406292007

NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD

BC: 22313145050

別村×日本

990 4C 4

*0217-03000-20-43

in the Manney of the state of t